

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Sizewell C written submission
Date: 12 October 2021 23:05:08
Attachments: [Sizewell C DCO Contribution 12th Oct deadline.pdf](#)

Interested Party Number: 20026506

Dear Sir / Madam

In my final submission to the DCO please find the attached document.

Kind regards

11th October 2021

Sizewell C DCO Contribution

Dear Examining Authority,

I am writing at what it seems will be my final opportunity to contribute to a process whose outcome will determine potentially significant impacts on mine and my community's lives over the next 15 years and beyond.

The 6 months of the DCO process, on top of the preceding multiple consultations has been overwhelming in both detail and the associated emotions of anxiety and feeling totally powerless about what impact I personally can have on this situation.

I sincerely hope it is becoming clear to the examining authority that this this project is both poorly planned and being targeted at a location which will be irreparably damaged by the development of Sizewell C. However, I fear that this process will become a purely tick box exercise and that irrespective of the examining authority's recommendation that this project will be rubber stamped through by the Secretary of State regardless.

EDF Energy seems to hold all the cards and the arrogant contributions of their QC's regular interjections attempting to tell the examining authority how to run the DCO process consolidate the feeling of total powerlessness. EDF has peddled multiple untruths during this DCO process both directly in relation to the licenses **they did not have in place** prior to the felling of Coronation Wood and recent lies on social media claiming significant local support for the project.

When combined with a local authority which is more than happy to roll over to EDF's demands in return for a little investment and an MP who refuses to even attempt to represent the views of her constituents the sinking feeling grows.

EDF themselves clearly know the impacts of their proposed build on the community of Theberton and Eastbridge are unmediatable and hence they have not even attempted to do this, as I stated in my earlier representation it very much appears that we are actively being punished for our objections to this project and are constantly accused of NIMBYism.

Sadly, the DCO process is not one which takes into account the emotional toll this project will take on the communities surrounding it so I will remind you of the reasons why Sizewell C must be rejected in its entirety.

- Sizewell C is not a solution to our currently energy issues – it is slow and would take at least 10-12 years to build (likely longer based on the overbudget, decade late track record of existing projects at Flammanville and Olkilouto), so not generate any power until 2034 at the absolute earliest.
 - The only operating EPR in China has reported degraded fuel rod sealings and been closed after international attention.
- Sizewell C is expensive, costing £20+ billion, which could be invested in renewable, rapid build projects such as offshore wind or hydrogen storage.
- Sizewell C's a massive carbon contributor throughout its build life.

- It would take 6 years to pay this back, meaning Sizewell C wouldn't contribute to net zero until 2040. The government's latest target is a 78% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2035.
- EDF are not willing to pay for their own project - a possible Regulated Asset Base funding model will further increase energy bills for the consumer and exacerbate fuel poverty in the UK.
- Nuclear energy is not green energy. There is as yet no long-term solution for nuclear waste.
- EDF's claims of thousands of jobs for locals and billions of pounds spent locally are unproven.
 - Any legacy roles will be low skilled and low paid after the build life.
- Sizewell C will damage the existing local economy.
- Visitors will stay away, losing the tourism industry up to £40 million a year and losing 400 jobs.
- There would be around 12,000 extra vehicles a day on the A12, including 700 HGVs.
 - This road is already overloaded and the communities along the tiny B1122 will be massively impacted before EDF attempt to build their ill placed link road.
- The Sizewell C site is on an eroding coastline and surrounded by protected wildlife habitats.
 - Toxic nuclear waste would have to remain on site for well over 100 years. This coastline will be ravaged by increased wave action and rising sea levels caused by Climate Change.
- The site is wholly within the Suffolk Coast & Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Construction will cut the AONB in half for a decade.
- The site adjoins internationally famous RSPB Minsmere reserve, and some of Sizewell Marshes Site of Scientific Interest will be built on.
- There is no assured long term water supply for Sizewell C.

Again, I urge you to reject this project.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Anne-Marie Robb

██████████

Eastbridge

██████████